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Take Home Message

• What are the basic tenets of AWR?
• What are the basic technical aspects of each approach?
• Limitations and benefits of each approach.
• I do most things at least sometimes
• I do one thing almost all the time
• Why I do what I do.
Not every patient has the same definition of a 6 pack!

Ideal Ventral Hernia Repair

- Minimal wound morbidity
- Avoid extensive skin flaps
- Large prosthetic mesh
- Reconstruct midline
- Reproducible
Component Separation

- What we really mean is:
  “try to reconstruct the midline”
- It does not always require a formal component separation.
- Do the least invasive/morbid procedure to accomplish the goal.
- One approach will not fit all defects.

What are the options for reconstructing the midline?

- Retro-rectus Repair- Rives-Stoppa-Wantz
- Open Component Separation
  - Anterior “Ramirez”
- Minimally Invasive Component Separation
  - Periumbilical Perforator Sparing
  - Endoscopic component separation
  - Posterior components separation
Open Component Separation
Anterior Approach


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Author (Year)</th>
<th>Steps Involved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Components Separation Release</td>
<td>Ramirez (1990)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External &amp; Internal Oblique Release</td>
<td>Limber (2001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Standing Desk&quot; Release</td>
<td>Kozub et al. (1998)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Oblique/Transversus Abdominis Release</td>
<td>Tschuma (1990)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior Rectus Fascia Release</td>
<td>Yeh (1990)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Component Separation Release</td>
<td>Folman (1994)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Components Separation” Method for Closure of Abdominal-Wall Defects: An Anatomic and Clinical Study

Oscar M. Ramirez, M.D., Ernesto Rass, M.D., and A. Lee Dello, M.D.

Component Separation

- **Rectus Muscle**
  - Upper 3 cm
  - Middle 5 cm
  - Lower 3 cm

- **External Oblique**
  - Upper 2 cm
  - Middle 4 cm
  - Lower 2 cm

Skin Flap Necrosis

Anterior Component Separation

**Pros**
- Wide fascial advancement
- Innervated / vascularized repair
- Improved functional outcomes
- Closure of wide defects with autologous tissue

**Cons**
- Significant wound morbidity
  - Necrosis, ischemia, infection, hematoma
- Non compliant abdominal walls, not much advancement
- Durability?
When I use it

- If skin won’t come to midline
- Will create a skin flap anyways
- The hernia is so big that the lateral extent of the hernia sac is at the external oblique
- If I don’t want to use mesh, violate the retro rectus plane, or think an onlay is acceptable

Patient

- 62 year old female
- s/p ovarian CA resection
- Planned Liver resection and primary closure of hernia
- On Avastin
Defect-15x20 cm

Posterior Rectus Sheath Incision
External Oblique Release

Fascia Closed
Follow up 3 m

Periumbilical Perforator Sparing
Periumbilical Perforator Sparing

Advantages
- Preserve main blood supply
- Similar advancement
- Low tech
- Easy to perform

Disadvantages
- Large dead space
- Communicates with midline wound
- Seroma and abscess rates may not change
Minimally Invasive Component Separation
Endoscopic Technique
Endoscopic Component Separation

Pros
- Direct access to lateral compartment
- Avoids subcutaneous flap
- Avoids division of abdominal wall perforators
- Decreases complexity of postoperative wound infections

Cons
- Requires laparoscopic skill set
- Achieves 85% of open release
- No flaps, can be difficult to place mesh
- Learning curve

What have I learned from Endoscopic CST? Good

1. Understand blood supply of anterior abdominal wall
2. Try and preserve blood supply to skin and subcutaneous tissues
3. Understand and preserve innervation of rectus muscle
4. If you preserve blood supply you will reduce wound morbidity.
What have I learned from Endoscopic CST? Bad

- Surgeons use it too often in cases that do not require a component separation.
- Those without advanced laparoscopic skill set, will not adopt this approach.
- Placement of mesh without a skin flap is challenging for most surgeons.

Retro-Rectus Repair
Rives-Stoppa
How I do it
Retro rectus Repair

Pros
- Often good enough to get midline closure in most hernias
- Relatively straightforward
- Large mesh
- Retro-muscular
- No skin flaps

Cons
- Narrow/atrophied rectus muscle limits mesh overlap
- Retrorectus space obliterated
- Large hernias midline might not come together

When I use it
- For any hernia I can
- As long as mesh coverage is wide enough
- Posterior sheath will close
But What if I am doing a Rives-Stoppa and I can't get the Posterior Sheath Closed?

- Make sure you go all the way to the linea semilunaris.
- You can use:
  - Vicryl Mesh
  - Omentum
- Posterior Component Separation
  - Preperitoneal Plane
  - To Psoas
  - Preserve Nerves

Transversus Abdominus Muscle
Rosen MJ. Atlas of Abdominal Wall Reconstruction
Does Posterior Component Separation Provide Equivalent Myofascial Advancement?
Posterior and open anterior components separations: a comparative analysis
David M. Krpata, M.D., Jeffrey A. Blatnik, M.D., Yuri W. Novitsky, M.D., Michael J. Rosen, M.D.

• 56 Open anterior CST versus 55 Posterior CST
• Defect Size equivalent 531 cm² versus 472 cm²
• Myofascial advancement equivalent
• 50% reduction in wound morbidity

American Journal of Surgery March 2012

---

Open Component Separation
Posterior Approach

Pros
• Takes advantage of retromuscular dissection plane
• Allows for medialization of both posterior and anterior components
• Closure of defect
• Avoids subcutaneous flap creation

Cons
• Can violate abdominal wall neurovascular supply
• Easy to get in wrong plane
• Large dead space created
• Technically challenging
What happens to the muscles after you perform these releases and reconstruct the abdominal wall?

- N=50
- 25 TAR with midline reconstruction
- 25 Lap ventral NO midline reconstruction
- Radiographic evaluation Pre v Post

Comparative Radiographic Analysis of Changes in the Abdominal Wall Musculature Morphology after Open Posterior Component Separation or Bridging Laparoscopic Ventral Hernia Repair

Cayson S. De Silva, BS, David M Kerpala, MD, Caitlin W Hicks, MD, Cory N Criss, MD, Yue Gao, MD, PhD, Michael J Rosson, MD, FACS, Yuri W Novitsky, MD
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscle</th>
<th>TAR</th>
<th>LVHR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rectus</td>
<td>+23%</td>
<td>+3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAO</td>
<td>+10%</td>
<td>-4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAO</td>
<td>+17%</td>
<td>-2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA</td>
<td>-18%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Functional abdominal wall reconstruction improves core physiology and quality-of-life

Cory N. Griss, MD, Clayton C. Petro, MD, David M. Kreuta, MD, Christina M. Seafler, RN, Nicola Lai, PhD, Justin Plucenik, MD, Yui W. Novotny, MD, and Michael J. Rosen, MD

Cleveland, OH

- N=13
- Preoperative and 6 months Postoperative Biodex
- HerQLes Score
- TAR / PCST

Surgery 2014

Isokinetic Dynamometry
Isometric Dynamometry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isokinetic at 60 degrees/sec</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isometric at -15 degrees</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isometric at 0 degrees</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isometric at +15 seconds</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HerQLes</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MY own personal evolution

- Laparoscopic Zealot
- Exposure to reconstructive surgeons and questioned the bridged repair
- Open anterior component separation advocate
- Dissatisfied with wound morbidity
- Endoscopic Component separation
- Limited mesh placement options/ surgeon adoption
- Posterior component separation

Conclusion

- There is a wide spectrum of ventral hernias
- Understand all approaches to AWR
- Pick which is best for your skill set, your patient, and your objectives for repair